PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED

DEC 16 2005

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

FULLER, Grover, F., Jr. Pfizer Inc. 201 Tabor Road Morris Plains, NJ 07950 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE



NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

13.12.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PC25862A

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/B2005/000030 10.01.2005

Priority date (day/month/year) 20.01.2004

Applicant

WARNER-LAMBERT COMPANY LLC et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.

International filing date (day/month/year)

3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

9)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Ambroa, J.R.

Tel. +49 89 2399-8012



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PC25862A		FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
1	mational application No. T/IB2005/000030	International filing date 10.01.2005	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20.01.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K31/4164							
	Applicant WARNER-LAMBERT COMPANY LLC et al.						
1.	This report is the international pro Authority under Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination ransmitted to the applica	eport, established by nt according to Article	this International Preliminary Examining e 36.			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including t	his cover sheet.				
3.	This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprisi	ng:	• .			
	a. D sent to the applicant and	to the International Bure	eau) a total of sheet	s, as follows:			
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
,	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions):						
4.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
ļ	Box No. I Basis of the opi	inion		A.			
	☐ Box No. II Priority						
ŀ	☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability			
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of		•	: .			
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI Certain docume	ents cited		•			
	<u></u> \	in the international app	lication	•			
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date	of submission of the demand		Date of completion o	f this report			
17.0	02.2005	•	13.12.2005				
	e and mailing address of the internation ninary examining authority:	nal	Authorized Officer	Agent Marie			
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			Seymour, L Telephone No. +49 8	9 2399-			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000030

JAPZO REC'OPCI/FIG 19 JUL 2006

	Box No.	I Basis of the report		
1.		ard to the language, this report is based on the interest of the language.	ernational application in the language in wh	hich it wa
	☐ This whicl	report is based on translations from the original land his the language of a translation furnished for the p	iguage into the following language, ourposes of:	
	□рі	nternational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ublication of the international application (under Rul nternational preliminary examination (under Rules 5		
2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement she have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				ets which o in this
	Description	on, Pages		•
	1-97	as originally filed		
	Claim's, No	umbers	80 A 10 A	
	1-15	as originally filed	· ;	
	□ a sec	quence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supp	plemental Box Relating to Sequence Listin	ıg
3.		amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		ne description, pages ne claims, Nos		
	☐ the	e drawings, sheets/figs		
		ne sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	;	
4.	had not be	report has been established as if (some of) the ame een made, since they have been considered to go be ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	endments annexed to this report and listed beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicate	below d in the
		ne description, pages ne claims, Nos.		
	☐ the	e drawings, sheets/figs	· '	
		ne sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
	* If i	tem 4 applies, some or all of these sh	heets may be marked "superseded	.· "

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000030

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application,					
Ø	claims Nos. 1-15 with respect to prodrugs					
	because:	because:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
Ø	no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. as above					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
П	See separate sheet for further details					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000030

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement.

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3,4,6-8

No: Claims

1,2,5,9-15

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 JUL 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2005/000030

International application No.

Re Item III

The present claims do not fulfil the requirements of Articles 5 and 6 PCT to such an extent as to render a meaningful search impossible. It is unclear which technical features are necessary to perform the functional term "prodrug" and thus which specific compounds fall within the scope of the present claims. Moreover, this functional definition is a mere invitation to the skilled person to perform a research program in order to find the suitable variants (cf. definition in description p. 16). The invention cannot be carried out over the whole claimed area without imposing an undue burden on the skilled person, and the disclosure is thus considered to be insufficient. Consequently, the search and examination do not include prodrugs of the claimed compounds.

Re Item V

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-4 808 607

D2: USA-4 968 681

D3: EP-A-0 300 278

2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 5 and 9-15 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT: The subject-matter of said claims overlaps with the disclosure of D1 and specific embodiments of D1 fall within the area of overlap (see passages referred to in search report).

The compounds of D2 differ from the present compounds in that they are hydroxylamines.

The compounds of D3 differ from the present compounds in that they are pyrrole derivatives.

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because
the subject-matter of the present claims does not involve an inventive step in the
sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The problem underlying the present application is seen in the provision of further imidazole derivatives as HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors and inhibitors of cholesterol biosynthesis (cf. present description, p. 4, lines 2-6).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/000030

Document D1, which is regarded as being the closest prior art, discloses imidazole derivatives having the present activity and structure. The types of substituents R² claimed in novel claims 3, 4 and 6-8 have been suggested in D2 and D3 for other closely related HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors containing C-linked imidazole and pyrrole templates: D2, column 1, line 14 - column 3, line 6, particularly definition of C¹; D3, p. 6, l. 43 - p. 8, l. 4, particularly formula la, definition of R⁴. It would therefore have been obvious for the person skilled in the art, faced with the abovementioned problem, to modify the known compounds as claimed.

An inventive step cannot therefore be acknowledged, in the absence of evidence showing that substantially all the claimed compounds have an unexpected property or improved activity with respect to the structurally closest prior art compounds, attributable to the distinguishing feature of the invention, which has yet to be established.